Using separation distance tables on sites with multiple units

For multi-unit sites think about the phasing to determine which units are to be considered in any fire spread risk review.

Figure 6 An example of full site with no handover/ phasing
Figure 7 An example of full site but phased build programme - units 1 and 2 progressing in advance of units 3 to 6

- Unit 1 is no longer a fire risk to occupied house h2
- Units 1 + 2 are no longer a fire risk to occupied house h4
- Unit 3 is also a risk to occupied house h4, but not unit 2 as this is an on-the-site fire risk

Figure 8 An example of full initial site but phased build programme - units 1 to 3 phase 1 construction in advance of 3 to 6

- Unit 1 is the fire risk to occupied house h2
- Units 1 + 2 + 3 is the fire risk to occupied house h4
Figure 9 An example of phased build programme - units 1 and 2 handed over, so there is a site boundary change.

**Limitation of this guidance**

This technical guidance is for use by persons within the industry who understand the business they work in. While this document has been prepared in good faith and all reasonable efforts have been made to ensure its adequacy and accuracy, no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (expressed or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by the Structural Timber Association.